UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,065	01/28/2004	Steven M. Spencer	12013/49501	9463
23838 KENYON & K	7590 12/27/2000 ENYON LLP	6	EXAMINER	
1500 K STREET N.W.			CAMERON, ERMA C	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/765,065	SPENCER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
	' " ' ' ' '

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of A this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affic places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in coa Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods:	davit, or other evidence, which ompliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ir no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origin set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	ilad within two manths of the date of
2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 AMENDMENTS	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, to	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOT	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially red appeal; and/or	lucing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Con</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, to non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	imely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5 and 13-19</u> .	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a No because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered to other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the centered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. Se	I and/or appellant fails to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after en	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in See Continuation Sheet.	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
10. [] Outer	·
Emia Cameon	France Company
EDM OWEDON	Erma Cameron Primary Examiner
	Art Unit: 1762

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The examiner agrees that claim 9 is not withdrawn from consideration, and as such, should be included in the rejection under 103 (a) as being unpatentable over US2002/0133183.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has argued in the 12/8/2006 response that Lentz does not describe any method of ensuring that a specific amount of drug is present on the stent. The examiner's position is that a drug delivery device such as a drug-eluting stent would certainly be manufactured so as to firstly contain and then deliver desired quanitities of the drugs. The medical profession does not deliver drugs to a patient without consideration of dose. Lentz states that the drugs "may be utilized to effectively prevent and treat vascular disease" [0051]. Effective treatment would not be possible without control of and knowledge of the amount of drug being delivered. See also [0054]. At [0062], Lentz states that "it is preferable to have the drug/drug combination dosage applied with enough specificity and a sufficient concentration to provide an effective dosage in the lesion area". At [0086], Lentz also speaks of controlling the "release profile" of the drug. See also [0090] Lentz states at [0092] that "those skilled in the art will be able to formulate a variety of stent coating formulations".

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER